

IRELL & MANELLA LLP  
 Andra Barmash Greene (123931)  
 agreene@irell.com  
 A. Matthew Ashley (198235)  
 mashley@irell.com  
 Justin N. Owens (254733)  
 jowens@irell.com  
 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400  
 Newport Beach, California 92660-6324  
 Telephone: (949) 760-0991  
 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200

Attorneys for Defendants

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

JACOB SABATINO, individually, and on )  
 behalf of all others similarly situated, )  
 )  
*Plaintiffs,* )  
 )  
 vs. )  
 )  
 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware )  
 corporation; RASIER, LLC, a Delaware )  
 limited liability company; RASIER-CA, LLC, )  
 a Delaware limited liability company; )  
 RASIER-DC, LLC, a Delaware limited )  
 liability company; RASIER-PA, LLC, a )  
 Delaware limited liability company; and DOES )  
 1 to 25, inclusive, )  
 )  
*Defendants.* )

Case No. 3:15-cv-00363-JST

**SECOND STIPULATION TO EXTEND  
 DEFENDANTS' RESPONSIVE  
 PLEADING DEADLINE [L.R. 6-1(a)]**

Action Filed: January 26, 2015

1 Plaintiff Jacob Sabatino ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier,  
2 LLC, Rasier-CA, LLC, Rasier-DC, LLC, and Rasier-PA, LLC ("Defendants"), by and through  
3 their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to L.R. 6-1(a), hereby stipulate and agree to continue  
4 Defendants' responsive pleading deadline through and including March 23, 2015. In support of  
5 the instant stipulation, the Parties state as follows:

6 WHEREAS, on January 26, 2015, Plaintiff filed his putative class action complaint against  
7 Defendants;

8 WHEREAS, the Parties previously stipulated to extend Defendants' responsive pleading  
9 deadline through and including March 2, 2015;

10 WHEREAS, on February 18, 2015, the Court ordered this case related to *Pappey v. Uber*  
11 *Technologies, Inc.* (United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco  
12 Division, Case No. 3:15-cv-00064-JST) and *Philliben, et. al v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et. al*  
13 (United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No.  
14 3:15-cv-00064-JST);

15 WHEREAS, counsel for Defendants has requested an additional extension of 21 days to  
16 facilitate ongoing research and analysis of the factual and legal issues raised in these three  
17 complaints;

18 WHEREAS, if Defendant's responsive pleading is a motion of any kind, Defendant will  
19 agree with Plaintiff on a mutually convenient briefing schedule and hearing date;

20 WHEREAS, Civil Local Rule 6-1(a) provides that parties may stipulate in writing, without  
21 a court order, to extend a defendant's time to answer or otherwise respond to a plaintiff's  
22 complaint, provided that the change will not alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed  
23 by court order;

24 WHEREAS, the Parties' proposed extension of Defendant's responsive pleading deadline  
25 will not change or alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by court order;

26 NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby STIPULATE and AGREE that Defendants'  
27 deadline to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's complaint is extended through and including  
28 March 23, 2015.

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 Dated: February 26, 2015

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

3  
4 By: /s/ A. Matthew Ashley

5 Andra B. Greene  
6 A. Matthew Ashley  
7 Justin N. Owens  
Attorneys for Defendants

8 Dated: February 26, 2015

MLG AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, APLC

9  
10 By: /s/ Kathryn Harvey

11 Kathryn Harvey  
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ECF ATTESTATION**

I, Justin N. Owens, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this  
SECOND STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEFENDANT'S RESPONSIVE PLEADING  
DEADLINE. I hereby attest that I received authorization to insert the signatures indicated by a  
conformed signature (/s/) within this efiled document.

By: /s/ Justin N. Owens

Justin N. Owens